

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/638,162	08/14/2000	Ryokichi Matsumoto	14998.235	1041
75	90 11/06/2002			
Chadbourne & Parke LLP			EXAMINER	
30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112			RAHLL, JERRY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2874		
	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1

•			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/638,162	MATSUMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Sumr	mary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jerry T Rahll	2874
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PETTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO. - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date. - If the period for reply specified above is less. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the efficiency is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion and the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	DMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a repl maximum statutory period riod for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with			natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	i ta Alaa aa ah baadhaa		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending			
4a) Of the above claim(s)		wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allow			
6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected			
7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers	to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>	ugust 2000 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
	•	• • •	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing corre	ction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawin	-	•	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of	f a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)∏ Some * c)∏ N	one of:		
 Certified copies of the 	e priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the	e priority document	s have been received in	Application No
	he International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of		* *	
Attachment(s)		-	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT	Review (PTO-948) O-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/638,162

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. *Drawings*
- 2. The drawings submitted have been reviewed and determined to facilitate understanding of the invention. The drawings are accepted as submitted. *Claim Objections*
- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for, "the largest one of those concentric circles ...", the proper form would refer to "a largest one of those concentric circles ..." Further, it is not clear how the concentric circles are "of" the core or cladding. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11 and 13 of U. S. Patent No. 6,463,195 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

•~

Application/Control Number: 09/638,162

Art Unit: 2874

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the fiber described in claims 1-5 of the current application are described in claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 13 of Patent No. 6,463,195. The method described by claims 6-9 of the current application are described in claims 1, 4 and 11 of Patent No. 6,463,195.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. European Patent No. 1 079 247 describes a polarization-maintaining fiber.
- Prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed 7. on March 13, 2001 have all been considered and made of record (note the attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T Rahll whose telephone number is (703) 306-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/638,162

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jerry T Rahll October 31, 2002

Page 4